

EMPEROR'S DOMAIN ENRICHED BY ADVENT OF SON'S PRETTY BRIDE



St. Nicholaszeitung
Hamburg-Kaiserschloß

(By Associated Press.)
BERLIN, February 27.—The Duchess Sophie Charlotte, of Oldenburg, daughter of the reigning grand duke of Oldenburg, by his first marriage with Princess Elizabeth, of Prussia, and Prince Eitel Frederick, the second son of the Emperor and Empress, were married at 5 o'clock this afternoon in the chapel of the palace by the court chaplain, Dr. Dryander. Rain was falling on the chapel

dome, but the inside was lit by hundreds of candles, showing the delicate costumes or uniforms of about five hundred persons belonging to the royal families of Germany or the principal nobility, the cabinet ministers and a number of generals and admirals. Ambassador Tower and Mrs. Tower were present in the diplomatic circle.

The civil ceremony had previously taken place in the presence of the imperial family alone, in the elector's chamber, while the other guests were gathered in the chapel. The Empress placed the Princess's crown, of green velvet and diamonds, and a wreath of fresh myrtle, on the head of the Princess. Her Majesty, who also wore a wreath of myrtle, and the bride, were both dressed in white silk. The train of the bride, which was four yards in length, was embroidered with silver roses.

perial family alone, in the elector's chamber, while the other guests were gathered in the chapel. The Empress placed the Princess's crown, of green velvet and diamonds, and a wreath of fresh myrtle, on the head of the Princess. Her Majesty, who also wore a wreath of myrtle, and the bride, were both dressed in white silk. The train of the bride, which was four yards in length, was embroidered with silver roses.

Before the company was formed Mr. Lanier submitted the project and scheme to the chairman of this commission, stating that if there was the slightest objection on the part of any of the commissioners to his promoting and holding commission to such an enterprise he would drop it at once. The chairman gave the matter his consideration and after a few days he reported to the commission that he was in favor of it.

"Upshur Approved It."

General of Virginia, Richmond, Va. The State Corporation Commission in its official capacity, delivered to the members of the commission a paper, styled by him a report, under date of the 17th of April, 1905, containing allegations, or charges, against one of the officers and some of the employees of the clerk's office. The members of the commission then called separately before them the chief clerk, the assistant clerk, and the two employees mentioned in the report, and interviewed them separately as to the matters contained in this report. Subsequently the chief clerk and the assistant clerk were considered as an order not entered upon the order book as such, was transmitted to the assistant clerk.

"State Corporation Commission," Richmond, May 11, 1905.

"The commission, having had brought to their attention a personal difference between the chief clerk and the first assistant clerk, and it having been evident that personal differences of an enervating and unbecoming nature exist between the chief clerk and the first assistant clerk, resulting to the detriment of the efficient discharge of duty by the clerical staff, and the commission, being satisfied that those personal differences are irreconcilable, and that the chief clerk and the first assistant clerk cannot efficiently work together in the same office, and that the public interest is suffering from the resulting condition of affairs in the clerk's office, the commission, in order to remedy the same, has decided to request the first assistant clerk to retire from the office, and hand in his resignation to the commission."

A few days later, a member of the bar of the city of Richmond appeared in person at the offices of the commission and submitted a written communication, in which the commission furnish Mr. Lanier with a complete copy of the charges which had been made against him by Mr. Upshur.

Accompanying this communication is a copy of the report above mentioned, made by the chief clerk to the commission, under date of April 17, 1905, in which document, constituting a part of the records of the commission, so that it is open to public inspection, or a copy should be made on demand.

As this matter is pressing, we most respectfully request your opinion at the earliest possible moment.

Yours very truly,
CHAIRMAN.

Attorney-General's Reply.

Hon. Beverley T. Crump, May 27, 1905.

Chairman State Corporation Commission, Richmond, Virginia.
Dear Sir:—In reply to the communication (termed a "report") from the chief clerk of the State Corporation Commission, addressed to the commission, under date of April 17, 1905, and your letter of the 25th inst., and now herewith returned, and I have carefully considered the charges against the chief clerk, and in reply to the question submitted to me by the commission: "Whether or not this report is an official document, constituting a part of the records of the commission, so that it is open to public inspection, or a copy should be made on demand."

As this matter is pressing, we most respectfully request your opinion at the earliest possible moment.

Yours very truly,
CHAIRMAN.

Attorney-General's Reply.

Hon. Beverley T. Crump, May 27, 1905.

Chairman State Corporation Commission, Richmond, Virginia.
Dear Sir:—In reply to the communication (termed a "report") from the chief clerk of the State Corporation Commission, addressed to the commission, under date of April 17, 1905, and your letter of the 25th inst., and now herewith returned, and I have carefully considered the charges against the chief clerk, and in reply to the question submitted to me by the commission: "Whether or not this report is an official document, constituting a part of the records of the commission, so that it is open to public inspection, or a copy should be made on demand."

As this matter is pressing, we most respectfully request your opinion at the earliest possible moment.

Yours very truly,
CHAIRMAN.

Attorney-General's Reply.

Hon. Beverley T. Crump, May 27, 1905.

Chairman State Corporation Commission, Richmond, Virginia.

Dear Sir:—In reply to the communication (termed a "report") from the chief clerk of the State Corporation Commission, addressed to the commission, under date of April 17, 1905, and your letter of the 25th inst., and now herewith returned, and I have carefully considered the charges against the chief clerk, and in reply to the question submitted to me by the commission: "Whether or not this report is an official document, constituting a part of the records of the commission, so that it is open to public inspection, or a copy should be made on demand."

As this matter is pressing, we most respectfully request your opinion at the earliest possible moment.

Yours very truly,
CHAIRMAN.

Attorney-General's Reply.

Hon. Beverley T. Crump, May 27, 1905.

Chairman State Corporation Commission, Richmond, Virginia.

Dear Sir:—In reply to the communication (termed a "report") from the chief clerk of the State Corporation Commission, addressed to the commission, under date of April 17, 1905, and your letter of the 25th inst., and now herewith returned, and I have carefully considered the charges against the chief clerk, and in reply to the question submitted to me by the commission: "Whether or not this report is an official document, constituting a part of the records of the commission, so that it is open to public inspection, or a copy should be made on demand."

As this matter is pressing, we most respectfully request your opinion at the earliest possible moment.

1905, 1906.

Caldwell vs. Story (Ky.), 45 L. R. A. 735.

Sunderland vs. Bradstreet, 46 N. Y. 191.

Helman vs. Hare, 10 N. Y. 511.

Townsend on Slander and Libel, Secs. 239, 271, A. and note.

Novell on Slander and Libel, Secs. 72, 101, and notes.

MRS. LEE'S LEGACY.

Handsome Donation to Hampden-Sidney is in Hand.

(Special to The Times-Dispatch.)

HAMPDEN-SIDNEY, COLLEGE, VA., February 27.—Mention was made recently that a legacy of \$25,000 would be paid to the college within a short time. The check for the full amount came in last week. This legacy, the bequest of Mrs. S. P. Lee, of New York city, was secured through the work of Rev. Richard McIlwaine, D. D., for twenty-one years the president of Hampden-Sidney College, and now enlisted in educational work in connection with the Jamestown Exposition.

Years ago, Dr. McIlwaine secured from Mrs. Lee a donation of \$1,000 for the establishment of a scholarship, providing free tuition and other fees for sons of ministers and candidates for the gospel ministry. This latter and larger gift came without restriction. In enclosing the draft for the amount, Mr. Fielding Marshall, one of the executors of the estate, and one of a distinguished coterie of lawyers, whom Virginia has furnished to New York, says of the giver and gift: "Mrs. Lee was a Kentuckian by birth, but lived for many years in this city, and at all times felt the greatest interest in and sympathy for the South. This legacy is a substantial proof of that feeling and I trust that it will make an abundant fruit in matters of benefit to your institution and to the State of Virginia."

The securing of this gift is a part of the large work which Dr. McIlwaine accomplished for Hampden-Sidney, a work for which he will always be gratefully remembered.

Yours very truly,
CHAIRMAN.

Attorney-General's Reply.

Hon. Beverley T. Crump, May 27, 1905.

Chairman State Corporation Commission, Richmond, Virginia.

Dear Sir:—In reply to the communication (termed a "report") from the chief clerk of the State Corporation Commission, addressed to the commission, under date of April 17, 1905, and your letter of the 25th inst., and now herewith returned, and I have carefully considered the charges against the chief clerk, and in reply to the question submitted to me by the commission: "Whether or not this report is an official document, constituting a part of the records of the commission, so that it is open to public inspection, or a copy should be made on demand."

As this matter is pressing, we most respectfully request your opinion at the earliest possible moment.

Yours very truly,
CHAIRMAN.

Attorney-General's Reply.

Hon. Beverley T. Crump, May 27, 1905.

Chairman State Corporation Commission, Richmond, Virginia.

Dear Sir:—In reply to the communication (termed a "report") from the chief clerk of the State Corporation Commission, addressed to the commission, under date of April 17, 1905, and your letter of the 25th inst., and now herewith returned, and I have carefully considered the charges against the chief clerk, and in reply to the question submitted to me by the commission: "Whether or not this report is an official document, constituting a part of the records of the commission, so that it is open to public inspection, or a copy should be made on demand."

As this matter is pressing, we most respectfully request your opinion at the earliest possible moment.

Yours very truly,
CHAIRMAN.

Attorney-General's Reply.

Hon. Beverley T. Crump, May 27, 1905.

Chairman State Corporation Commission, Richmond, Virginia.

Dear Sir:—In reply to the communication (termed a "report") from the chief clerk of the State Corporation Commission, addressed to the commission, under date of April 17, 1905, and your letter of the 25th inst., and now herewith returned, and I have carefully considered the charges against the chief clerk, and in reply to the question submitted to me by the commission: "Whether or not this report is an official document, constituting a part of the records of the commission, so that it is open to public inspection, or a copy should be made on demand."

As this matter is pressing, we most respectfully request your opinion at the earliest possible moment.

Yours very truly,
CHAIRMAN.

Attorney-General's Reply.

Hon. Beverley T. Crump, May 27, 1905.

Chairman State Corporation Commission, Richmond, Virginia.

Dear Sir:—In reply to the communication (termed a "report") from the chief clerk of the State Corporation Commission, addressed to the commission, under date of April 17, 1905, and your letter of the 25th inst., and now herewith returned, and I have carefully considered the charges against the chief clerk, and in reply to the question submitted to me by the commission: "Whether or not this report is an official document, constituting a part of the records of the commission, so that it is open to public inspection, or a copy should be made on demand."

As this matter is pressing, we most respectfully request your opinion at the earliest possible moment.

Yours very truly,
CHAIRMAN.

Attorney-General's Reply.

Hon. Beverley T. Crump, May 27, 1905.

Chairman State Corporation Commission, Richmond, Virginia.

Dear Sir:—In reply to the communication (termed a "report") from the chief clerk of the State Corporation Commission, addressed to the commission, under date of April 17, 1905, and your letter of the 25th inst., and now herewith returned, and I have carefully considered the charges against the chief clerk, and in reply to the question submitted to me by the commission: "Whether or not this report is an official document, constituting a part of the records of the commission, so that it is open to public inspection, or a copy should be made on demand."

As this matter is pressing, we most respectfully request your opinion at the earliest possible moment.

Yours very truly,
CHAIRMAN.

Attorney-General's Reply.

Hon. Beverley T. Crump, May 27, 1905.

Chairman State Corporation Commission, Richmond, Virginia.

Dear Sir:—In reply to the communication (termed a "report") from the chief clerk of the State Corporation Commission, addressed to the commission, under date of April 17, 1905, and your letter of the 25th inst., and now herewith returned, and I have carefully considered the charges against the chief clerk, and in reply to the question submitted to me by the commission: "Whether or not this report is an official document, constituting a part of the records of the commission, so that it is open to public inspection, or a copy should be made on demand."

As this matter is pressing, we most respectfully request your opinion at the earliest possible moment.

Yours very truly,
CHAIRMAN.

Attorney-General's Reply.

Hon. Beverley T. Crump, May 27, 1905.

Chairman State Corporation Commission, Richmond, Virginia.

Dear Sir:—In reply to the communication (termed a "report") from the chief clerk of the State Corporation Commission, addressed to the commission, under date of April 17, 1905, and your letter of the 25th inst., and now herewith returned, and I have carefully considered the charges against the chief clerk, and in reply to the question submitted to me by the commission: "Whether or not this report is an official document, constituting a part of the records of the commission, so that it is open to public inspection, or a copy should be made on demand."

As this matter is pressing, we most respectfully request your opinion at the earliest possible moment.

Yours very truly,
CHAIRMAN.

Attorney-General's Reply.

Hon. Beverley T. Crump, May 27, 1905.

Chairman State Corporation Commission, Richmond, Virginia.

GROOM'S MOTHER SHADOWS BRIDE

Watched Her for Three Days and Succeeded in Taking the Same Train.

LOATH TO SEE SON MARRIED

Groom Exceedingly Proud of His Prize, and Thinks His Mother Should Be, Too.

(Special to The Times-Dispatch.)

BRISTOL, TENN., February 27.—Miss Elizabeth Clark, of Max Meadows, Va., who became the bride of F. M. Tate in Bristol last Thursday night, after an exciting race between the carriage occupied by her and her lover and that occupied by the mother of the groom, who had been shadowing the young lady for three days, is a beautiful young woman of the brunette type.

She is twenty-seven years of age, has dark brown eyes and weighs about 130 pounds. She possesses quite a charming manner and gives evidence of pleasing attainments.

It was reported on the night of her elopement that it was her own mother who was shadowing her, and who followed her to Bristol, but it turned out to be the mother of Mr. Tate, who resides at Max Meadows, and who, being a widow, was loath to see her son married.

Watched the Bride.

It is stated that Mrs. Tate watched every move of Miss Clark for three or four days prior to her departure for Bristol. She learned of the young lady's intentions.

Ascertaining that Miss Clark, who is an orphan, and who resided with her aunt, had left home to board the train for Bristol at the government fish hatchery, near Wytheville, Mrs. Tate boarded the same train at Max Meadows, and thus succeeded in reaching Bristol at the same time Miss Clark did.

The bridegroom is thirty-two years of age, of medium build, with light hair and ruddy complexion. He is a son of the late John M. Tate, of Max Meadows, and was reared in the community, having grown up with Miss Clark. He is a nephew of Senator T. L. Tate, representing the district composed of the counties of Wythe, Bland and Pulaski.

For some time the young man has been located at Clinchport, Va., being in charge of the construction company, which has construction contracts on the South and Western Railway.

A Proud Groom.

Mr. Tate was very proud of the prize he had captured, and thought his mother really should not have opposed the marriage, for to a reporter he said, excitedly, at the hotel an hour after the marriage had been consummated.

"I wish my wife had not retired for the night, that you might see her. She is the prettiest woman in the world, and a woman ever eloped with a better Democrat than I."

Tate was assisted in consummating the romance by Alexander Claiborne, a St. Louis traveling man. Claiborne took unusual interest in the affair, because his own marriage had been consummated under somewhat similar circumstances, he and his sweetheart having eloped from Indiana to Louisville, Ky., where they were married.

Following their marriage, Tate and his bride went on a brief trip to Tennessee. They have returned, and are now domiciled at Clinchport.

MARDI GRAS FESTIVITIES
CLOSE AT PENASCOLA

PENASCOLA, FLA., February 27.—Fully ten thousand persons witnessed the conclusion of the Mardi Gras festivities here to-day when they viewed the grand parade, composed of fifteen floats, all beautifully decorated. The floats were works of art. Following the parade was the grand coronation ball when the identity of the King, Princess and Queen was disclosed. Mr. E. Stillman, collector of customs of the city, crowned as the queen of the carnival, Miss Daisy E. Hyer. More than 5,000 visitors in the city and participated in the frolic that prevailed throughout the day and night.

MOBILE CARNIVAL
THE GREATEST EVER

Commemorated Her Escape From Yellow Fever During Last Summer.

(By Associated Press.)

MOBILE, ALA., Feb. 27.—Mobile has made the Carnival of 1906 commemorative of her escape from yellow fever during last summer, with the result that it has been the greatest carnival in the city's history. In the afternoon the celebration consisted of the Felix parade, and that of the Knights of Revelry, who chose for their subject "Themeland," shown on eight floats. To-night the Order of Myths and the Infant Mystics gave a grand parade. The subject of the Order of Myths was "Universal Wonders," shown on seven floats. The subject of the Infant Mystics was "Cleverness," shown on seven floats, the subjects being the Vision of Harnesia, Delmas, With Message, Cleopatra in her chariot, the way to Anthony, and Isis Revealed.

The mark of the highest-grade dentifrice, the standard in every country in the world, is

SOZODONT

3 Forms: Liquid, Powder & Paste

ASK YOUR DENTIST

INVESTIGATION IS LOOMING UP

Senator and Delegate Would Have Eastern Hospital Affairs Looked Into.

CLOWES ARGUMENT TO-DAY

Report of the Committee is Expected To-morrow—Much Interest in It.

Unless the Senate special committee, conducting the Clowes inquiry shall make a report of such character as to render this independent action unnecessary, resolutions will be offered simultaneously in both houses of the General Assembly within the next few days calling for a sweeping investigation into the affairs of the Eastern State Hospital at Williamsburg, with which both the Richmond man under fire, as director, and Dr. L. S. Foster, his chief antagonist, as superintendent, are connected.

Plans made yesterday to introduce the resolutions to-day were altered last night in deference to the special committee now about to conclude its inquiry and submit a decision of some sort. As to the new movement which comes from a quarter known to be friendly to Mr. Clowes, everything depends upon this decision. If the committee confines itself strictly to the question of the "fitness" of Mr. Clowes, which is the specific purpose for which it was appointed, the resolutions will be promptly introduced, whether the verdict be favorable to the Director or not. But the men who are interested in themselves, the matter profess to have certain "inside" information leading them to the belief that the scope of the committee report will be broader, that it will touch in general upon conditions at the hospital as revealed at hearings, and that the delivering of the investigation will be of itself sufficient to cause a further inquiry. It is to await these possible disclosures that the others are holding "hands off" for the present.

Wait for the Report.

The names of the two legislators—one in the Senate and one in the House—who are preparing to introduce the resolutions, should the report of the committee not prove broad enough to satisfy them, might be given, but are withheld. The senator who is moving in the matter was seen last night and discussed the matter freely.

"The resolution has not yet been drawn," he said, "but was to be introduced to-morrow simultaneously in both branches. In view of the fact that the committee is about to report and may do so to-morrow, I conferred with the House member this afternoon and secured his consent to wait until we could see what the committee does. If the committee does not make a report that will result in a general investigation of the affairs of the Eastern State Hospital, we shall certainly have no resolution. It will call no names, but will express our belief that the conditions at the hospital require an investigation."

Argument in the Clowes case will be heard to-day. In view of some delay in getting the stenographic record of the hearing, the session of the committee may not be held until to-morrow. It is not likely that the committee will make a report to the Senate to-day, as suggested by the senator quoted above, though this is, of course, within the bounds of possibility. Three-quarters of an hour will be allowed to each side for argument. Mr. Clowes will be represented by Colonel George W. Venable and Dr. Foster by the Hon. Joseph T. Lawless.

HUMPTY DUMPTY IS A LIVING PICTURE

With All the Charm of the Olden Days, It Has Modern Attractions.

"Humpty Dumpty" in a modern garb is much dearer to the hearts of the little folks than the Humpty of the forefathers. Klaw and Erlanger's version at the Academy this week is by far the most gorgeous production seen in Richmond, and the use of the adjective is not amiss. Folks go to see the stick version of the pantomime and are delightedly surprised with an immense musical comedy, for such the spectacle is. There is the slap stick still in use, but no seldoms the old-fashioned trade mark, and in place of the doubled blade that takes rank with the best seen in opera, and a prima donna, that has a voice as jeweled as that of Mme. Sidor, in grand opera. Then there is the ballet, a great big ballet of a hundred and fifty girls, mostly pretty and all shapely, a wealth of costumes, but none too abbreviated to be unsightly and around all of this is a wealth of music, and a brief in scenery. This is the new "Humpty Dumpty," the twentieth century article and a far superior one to that of a decade ago. No one misses the trick scenery, for in place of that time-worn article of amusement, the new version has a stage full of mysterious holes through which the demons disappear and reappear with lightning rapidity. They shoot into the air, only the next moment to disappear through another corner of the stage. Where the ballet once reined and ruled about the stage floor, now the same dainty little women fly in graceful movements through the air, and doves fly from the galleries to bill and coo on their arms. It is a gorgeous spectacle and one of the most extraordinary for the whole production.

"Humpty Dumpty" has come to stay for the week, and after it has gone the pages of local theatrical history will revert back to the engagement to make a comparison of those that failed. It is a performance for one and for all, both young and old. To-day there will be a special matinee and to-night at 8 o'clock the curtain will again rise on the spectacle.

OBITUARY.

Mrs. Opal Earnest Robinson.

Mrs. Opal Earnest Robinson, wife of Mr. J. V. Robinson, and daughter of Mr. and Mrs. J. A. Earnest, died at her residence, No. 407 East Cary Street, Tuesday morning, February 27th, at 10:30 o'clock.

The funeral will be preached from Venable Street Baptist Church, Thursday, March 1st, at 3:30 P. M.

The active pall-bearers will be Messrs. E. A. Turner, Dr. H. H. Harris, J. M. Spence, Geo. Lumsden, F. L. Muller and Irvin Mahoney.

Honorary pall-bearers: Dr. Jacob Michaux, Dr. W. I. Oopenheimer, Messrs. H. M. Boykin, J. S. Munce, W. F. Johnson, F. O. Robinson, W. M. Mooney and S. C. McKeen.

Mrs. Robinson died of typhoid fever, at



When There's a Victor in the Home.

It entertains the guests, plays for dancing, amuses the family, renders the latest hits and the greatest classical selections with rich purity and clear, natural tone.

The Victor is conceded to be the greatest of Talking Machines—it has been proven from the first. At Buffalo in 1901, St. Louis in 1904, and again at Portland in 1905, it won Gold Medals, the highest award, over all other machines.

We are sole agents for the Victor in Virginia and North Carolina. We are agents because Victors are the best. We sell them on easy installments. We also sell all kinds of Victor Records.

Likewise, we sell only the best Pianos—the masterpieces. Note these old favorites:

Steinway, Hardman, Wheelock, Standard, Weber, Steck, Kimball, Haines.

If you can, see our instruments; if you can't, write us, for we fill mail orders promptly.

Walter D. Moses & Co.,
103 East Broad Street.
Oldest Music House in Virginia.

Several days' illness.

Interment will be in Hollywood Cemetery.

Mrs. Winniefred C. Cobb.

Mrs. Winniefred C. Cobb, widow of the late Dr. Benjamin F. Cobb, of Wilmington, N. C., died at the residence of her son, Mr. Alphonso Cobb, in Durham, N. C., February 27, 1906, after a long and painful illness. Mrs. Cobb leaves several children, among whom are J. T. E. J. and Lawrence Cobb, of this city.

Funeral services will take place at St. Peter's Cathedral at 10 A. M. to-morrow, March 1st.

Funeral of I. Harry Simpson.

The funeral of Mr. J. Harry Simpson, who died in Chesterfield county Monday, in the twenty-eighth year of his age, will take place to-day in private burial grounds.